

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/753,836	01/05/2004		Steve Corbin	2916-003	6794
20575	7590	07/06/2005		EXAM	INER
		N & MCCOLLON	GRAHAM, MARK S		
	1030 SW MORRISON STREET PORTLAND, OR 97205				PAPER NUMBER
	.,			3711	

DATE MAILED: 07/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\boldsymbol{\omega}$				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/753,836	CORBIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark S. Graham	3711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a land. In reply within the statutory minimum of this riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
<ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0</li> <li>2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ .</li> <li>3) □ Since this application is in condition for allocation accordance with the practice und</li> </ul>	This action is non-final. owance except for formal mat	· •				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are withdo 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>27-30</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action of form PTO-192.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage				
AMachanant/a)						
Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Preferences Cited (170-032)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date	) Paper No(	s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/753,836

Art Unit: 3711

Applicant's election without traverse of the species 1 (Fig. 2 embodiment) in the 12/22/04 paper was acknowledged. Claims 1 and 3-7 have been amended such that they all are directed at the Fig. 4 embodiment which was non-elected without traverse.

Therefore, claims 1 and 3-7 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the 12/22/04 paper.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vaccaro, Jr. (Vaccaro) in view of O'Herron and Carter.

Vaccaro discloses the claimed set with the exception of the helical loop and curve to bank a ball. However, such obstacles are also known in the art as typified by Carter and O'Herron respectively. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have included such on Vaccaro's ramps along with the included tunnel 21, to add further interest to the game. What the game was actually used for (croquet or golf) is not at issue in the instant article claims.

Regarding claims 28 and 29, Vaccaro does not disclose the securing devices. However, such are known in the art as disclosed by O'Herron. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used such with Vaccaro's device as well to anchor it to the ground.

Application/Control Number: 10/753,836 Page 3

Art Unit: 3711

In response to applicant's arguments the claims do not require a banked structure. They only require that the structure be capable of banking a ball around a curve. The term "bank", so used, is broad enough to encompass a structure that will allow a ball to ride up its surface as it rounds a curve which O'Herron's structure will permit depending on the speed of the ball. Moreover, even Carter's device banks the ball around a curve.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mark S. Graham at telephone number 571-272-4410.

MSG 6/28/05 Mark S. Graham

Primary Examiner